The Extruder A look inside the "Black Box"



By Hans-Joachim Graf, John Seward, Scott D. Baird



- Introduction
- Rheology
- Visualization with Color Rubber
- Empirical Assessment
- Conclusion





Introduction Model Theory

- Empirical Models predict each of the energy balance parameters with respect to independent extruder settings.
 - The models are only valid if confined to the experimental boundary





Value	n	Value	<u> </u>
Flow	2	Speed	-0.5
Temperature	0	Flight Depth	0.5
Pressure	0	Pitch	0
Power	2		<u>8</u>





Introduction Purpose of FEM-Simulations

- The reason for simulation and prediction of extruder processes
 - Establish Process window
 - State of Material at Die
- Expand Experimental boundary beyond Model Theory.
- "Single Hit" manufacture of a Die using predictive Equations.
- This requires a intimate understanding of the Extruder: we would say a look into the "black box"



Glass Window Extruder: [Source: Compuplast]



Rheology Description of Flow

- The Power Equation [often referred as "Power Law"] does not fully describe, what is observed in experiments
 - Slip flow for example
- The Equation does not describe the velocity distribution in a flow channel for a non-newtonian fluid accurately.



Rheology Measurement Devices for Viscosity

- The mathematical description of data using the power equation, and
- the usage of equipment for measurement, which has other constraints, does not result in an understanding of the physical processes.







Shear rate [s⁻¹]



Rheology

EPDM Compound /Temperature Effects

- Depends on the test method used.
- Capillary rheometry [CR] does not always show an effect, where extrusion rheometry [ER] does.





Source: C. Barres & J.L. Leblanc, University of Paris, Polymer Processing and Rheology

Material - Shear Viscosity



Rheology Pressure-dependent Navier slip law

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = -\left(\begin{array}{c} k_{\mathrm{NL}} + \frac{k_{\mathrm{NH}}}{1 + \frac{\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|}{k_{\mathrm{c}}.p}} \end{array} \right) \mathbf{v}$$

For high values of p, tends to

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}$$
 = - ($k_{_{NL}} + k_{_{NH}}$) v

For low vales of p, tends to

$$\boldsymbol{\tau}$$
 = - ($k_{_{NL}}$) \mathbf{v}

In between, manages transition. Typically, k_{NL} is a low Navier-slip factor and k_{NH} is high.

If $k_{NH} = \infty$ and $k_{NL} = 0$, then no slip at high pressure and perfect slip applies at low pressure.

Source: Jean-Marie Mareshal, Fluent



Rheology Pressure Effects

• Pressure effect on slip





Source: Thomas Wilhelmsmeyer: Thesis



Visualization

Swirl diameter versus screw length and Flight Depth

Source: Cooperation with Cooper-Standard Automotive

Experimental Observed Mixing Effects



Visualization Flow in the Extruder



One Slice represents 1 D



Visualization Eddy Analysis

- Cold core consumption has a pattern consisting of two swirl currents, which is not predicted.
- The Layer on the bottom of the screw is also not predicted.

Empirical Assessment



Operating Characteristics



Empirical Assessment Adiabatic Nature of System

Pressure
stability over
range of shear
rates





screw speed[rpm]



Empirical Assessment Pressure Flow Calculation

• Flow balance neglecting leakage flow

$$\dot{\mathbf{V}} = \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{drag} - \dot{\mathbf{V}}_{pressure}$$

• Normalizing with respect to drag flow

$$\pi_{\text{pressure}} = 1 - \pi_{\dot{v}}$$





Empirical Assessment Pressure Flow



Conclusion

- The fundamental modeling of fluid behavior needs to be revisited
 - "Power law" vs. Newtonian Fluid
 - Slip versus Non-Slip Flow
- Information gained from Visualization techniques will improve the accuracy of Models [FEA]
- Empirical modeling allows the extraction of more information with the same amount of experimental data.